

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/344.863 06/28/99 SCHLUETER E D/99006 **EXAMINER** IM62/1130 JOHN E BECK HON, S XEROX CORPORATION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER XEROX SQUARE 20A ROCHESTER NY 14644 1772 DATE MAILED: 11/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicant(s) SCHLUETER ET AL. 09/344.863 Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 1772 Sow-Fun Hon --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____ 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 6.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment. 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 26. 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has not been approved by the Examiner.

sh

10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. Other:

Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

1. Applicant has argued that the claimed invention does not read on Jonas et al. The rejections in Paper # 4 (mailed 08/30/00) are maintained for reasons previously of record. It is the examiner's position that the two references of record, Jonas et al. and Tarumi et al., are analogous art. For clarification purposes, Applicant is directed to Jonas et al. (column 3, lines 14-15). Applicant has misinterpreted the reference. Jonas et al. does not recite that the conductive polythiophene formulations are used for "picture production such as silver halide photography dry-plate systems in electrophotography". Instead, Jonas et al. actually recites "Other areas of application are systems for picture production, for example, silver halide photography, dry-plate systems, electrophotography."

874 11/28/00

RENA L. DYE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10.4 Certer 1700